

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/757,205	01/14/2004	Ann C. Merenda	POU920000085US3	3572
75	90 06/29/2006		EXAM	INER
IBM Corporation			PONIKIEWSKI, TOMASZ	
Intellectual Pro	perty Law			
2455 South Road, P386			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Poughkeepsie, NY 12601			2165	
		DATE MAILED: 06/29/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/757,205	MERENDA ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Tomasz Ponikiewski	2165			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHO WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failur Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLEHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DIsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statutely received by the Office later than three months after the mailing patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	OATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
2a) <u></u> □	Responsive to communication(s) filed on This action is FINAL. 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allower closed in accordance with the practice under	s action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pro				
Dispositi	on of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1-45</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) <u>1-45</u> is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	awn from consideration.				
Applicati	on Papers					
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examina The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	cepted or b) objected to by the lead of a cepted or b) objected to by the lead of a cepted of the drawing(s) is objection is required if the drawing(s) is objection is	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da				
3) 🔯 Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 r No(s)/Mail Date <u>01/14/2004</u> .		Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/757,205 Page 2

Art Unit: 2165

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

Claims 1-45 are pending.

#### Claim Objections

1. Claim1, 16, 31, 35, 36, and 45 are objected to because of the following informalities:

Claims 1, 16, 31, 35, 36, and 45 recite the word "for" in the body of the claims. It indicates intended use and as such does not carry patentable weight. The word could be changed to recite "to". The limitations following the phrase "for" describes only intended use but not necessarily required functionality of the claim. Limitations following the phrase "for" do not carry patentable weight, which cause the claims to appear as a series of non-functional descriptive material/data without any functional relation with each other. Applicant is required to amend the claims so that the claim limitations are recited in a definite form. For example, claim 16 recites "creator for" should be "creator to" or "creator that".

Appropriate correction is required.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

- 2. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:
  - Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.
- 3. Claim 1, 16, and 31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.

Art Unit: 2165

Claim 1, 16, 31 does not list any hardware (i.e. computer) tied to the steps in order to operate the steps of the claims therefore resulting in software only implementation. Claim 31 needs a processor for the code to perform its functionality.

Claim 16 recites a "system" in the preamble. While the body of the claim lists modules, separator, creator, receiver, finder, the specification does not list the system to include hardware; therefore it appears to be software only. Dependent claims carry the same deficiency.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

  The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 5. Claims 1, 4-6, 10-11, 14, 16, 19-20, 25-26, 29, 31, 34-36, 40-41, and 44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 1, 6, 10, 14, 16, 25, 26, 29, 31, 36, 40, and 44 recite the phrase "predefined operation". It is unclear to the examiner what the applicant means be predefined operation thus making it vague and indefinite.

Art Unit: 2165

Claims 4-5, 19-20, and 34-35 recite "blind analysis". It is unclear what the applicant means by the term blind or what is meant by blind analysis in terms of the remaining claim recitation.

Claims 10-11, 25-26, and 40-41 recite "required condition". It is unclear to the examiner what the required condition is thus making it vague and indefinite.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 7. Claims 1-5, 10-20, 25-35 and 40-45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by <u>de l'Etraz et al.</u> (US 6,073,138).

As per claims 1, 16, 31 <u>de l'Etraz et al.</u> is directed to accessing data records in a private data set having restricted access, the records in the private data set being accessible only by authorized users (column 5, lines 61-62), the method comprising the steps of:

Art Unit: 2165

separating a data record into a public data record stored in a public data set and a private data record stored in a private data set (figure 1a, elements 102a and 104a)

creating a logical link logically relating the public data record with the private data record (column 10, lines 47-65, wherein both databases are relational databases which are organized into relational tables and as such are logically linked);

receiving a request from a user to perform a predefined operation, the request comprising information from the public data record, the user not authorized to access the private data set (column 5, lines 52-56);

finding the private data record using the information from the public data record received from the user in combination with the logical link (column 5, 55-63);

and performing the predefined operation using the private data record (column 5, lines 55-59).

As per claims 2, 17, 32 <u>de l'Etraz et al.</u> is directed to the logical link comprises a key value stored in the public data record and the private data record (column 11, lines 1-4).

As per claims 3, 18, 33 <u>de l'Etraz et al.</u> is directed to the information from the public data record received from the user comprises a key value stored in the private data record (column 11, lines 3-4, wherein key value is stored in a field denoted by "a").

Art Unit: 2165

As per claims 4, 19, 34 <u>de l'Etraz et al.</u> is directed to the predefined operation comprises blind analysis of data in a plurality of private data records (column 5, lines 55-63).

As per claim 5, 20, 35 <u>de l'Etraz et al.</u> is directed to the further step of forwarding the results of the blind analysis to the user (column 5, lines 55-63).

As per claim 10, 25, 40 <u>de l'Etraz et al.</u> is directed to the performing the predefined operation step is performed only when a required condition is satisfied (column 8, lines 44-67).

As per claim 11, 26, 41 <u>de l'Etraz et al.</u> is directed to the required condition is based upon information in the private record (column 8, lines 50-55).

As per claim 12, 27, 42 <u>de l'Etraz et al.</u> is directed to the private data record comprises data regarding any one of a link ID, a key, a consumer or an enterprise (column 11, lines 1-4).

As per claim 13, 28, 43 <u>de l'Etraz et al.</u> is directed to the public data record comprises data regarding any one of a link ID, a key, a consumer or a product (column 11, lines 1-4).

Art Unit: 2165

As per claims 14, 29, 44 <u>de l'Etraz et al.</u> is directed to the performing the predefined operation step comprises the further step of retrieving data from any one of the private data record or the public data record (column 17, lines 2-13).

As per claims 15, 30, 45 <u>de l'Etraz et al.</u> is directed to forwarding the retrieved data to the user (column 7, lines 28-31, wherein the data is in the form of web page).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 9. Claims 6-9, 21-24, and 36-39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over <u>de l'Etraz et al.</u> (US 6, 073,138) in view of <u>Scroggie et al.</u> (US 6,014,634).

As per claims 6, 21, 36 <u>de l'Etraz et al.</u> does not teach performing the predefined operation comprises the further steps of:

using information from the private data record as a network address;

Scroggie et al. teaches performing the predefined operation comprises the further steps of:

Art Unit: 2165

using information from the private data record as a network address (<u>Scroggie et al.</u>, column 12, lines 50-53);

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine <u>de l'Etraz et al.</u> by teachings of <u>Scroggie et al.</u> to include using information from the private data record as a network address because it would allow contact or update between entities (<u>Scroggie et al.</u>, column 4, lines 20-30).

de l'Etraz et al. as modified does not teach transmitting a message to the network address.

Scroggie et al., teaches and transmitting a message to the network address (Scroggie et al., column 12, lines 57-58).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine <u>de l'Etraz et al.</u> as modified by teachings of <u>Scroggie et al.</u> to include transmitting a message to the network address because it would make contact or update between entities easier and faster (<u>Scroggie et al.</u>, column 4, lines 20-30).

As per claims 7, 22, 37 <u>de l'Etraz et al.</u> does not teach the message comprises email.

Scroggie et al. teaches the message comprises email (Scroggie et al., column 12, 57-58)

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine <u>de l'Etraz et al.</u> by teachings of <u>Scroggie et al.</u> to

Art Unit: 2165

include the message comprises email because e-mail permits contact between entities in an easy and comfortable way (Scroggie et al., column 4, lines 20-30).

As per claims 8, 23, 38 <u>de l'Etraz et al.</u> does not teach the message comprises message information from any one of the private data record or the public data record.

Scroggie et al. teaches the message comprises message information from any one of the private data record or the public data record (Scroggie et al., column 12, lines 40-47).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine <u>de l'Etraz et al.</u> by teachings of <u>Scroggie et al.</u> to include the message comprises message information from any one of the private data record or the public data record because information in the records determine what the message will contain (Scroggie et al., column 2, lines 65-67; column 3, lines 1-9).

As per claim 9, 24, 39 <u>de l'Etraz et al.</u> does not teach the message comprises marketing material.

Scroggie et al. teaches the message comprises marketing material (Scroggie et al., column 12, 59-64).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine <u>de l'Etraz et al.</u> by teachings of <u>Scroggie et al.</u> to include the message comprises marketing material because it makes sense to use the

Art Unit: 2165

invention in a business environment (<u>Scroggie et al.</u>, column 2, lines 65-67; column 3, lines 1-9).

#### Conclusion

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tomasz Ponikiewski whose telephone number is (571)272-1721. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jeffrey A. Gaffin can be reached on (571)272-4146. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Tomasz Ponikiewski June 23, 2006

SUPERVISORY PATERY EXAMINER